

## A coupled Korteweg-de Vries equation with dispersion

This article has been downloaded from IOPscience. Please scroll down to see the full text article.

1985 J. Phys. A: Math. Gen. 18 L571

(<http://iopscience.iop.org/0305-4470/18/10/003>)

View [the table of contents for this issue](#), or go to the [journal homepage](#) for more

Download details:

IP Address: 129.252.86.83

The article was downloaded on 31/05/2010 at 13:47

Please note that [terms and conditions apply](#).

LETTER TO THE EDITOR

**A coupled Korteweg–de Vries equation with dispersion**

B A Kupershmidt

The University of Tennessee Space Institute, Tullahoma, TN 37388, USA

Received 10 April 1985

**Abstract.** A dispersive system describing a vector multiplet interacting with the Korteweg–de Vries field is shown to be a member of a bi-Hamiltonian integrable hierarchy.

An integrable system can be sometimes represented as a sub- or factor-system of a larger integrable system. Such an extension may or may not have all the features of the original system. The simplest integrable system, the  $\kappa\text{dv}$  equation, provides an instructive case. It has a number of integrable *two-component* extensions, of the form

$$\dot{u} = u_{xxx} + 6uu_x - 12vv_x, \quad \dot{v} = -2(v_{xxx} + 3uv_x), \tag{1}$$

$$\dot{u} = u_{xxx} + 6uu_x + 2vv_x, \quad \dot{v} = 2(uv)_x. \tag{2}$$

The system (1) was introduced in Hirota and Satsuma (1981); it is associated with the affine Lie algebra  $C_2^{(1)}$ , and has only *one* Hamiltonian structure extending the second Hamiltonian structure of the  $\kappa\text{dv}$  equation (Wilson 1982). The system (2) has two Hamiltonian structures extending each of the two Hamiltonian structures of the  $\kappa\text{dv}$  equation (Ito 1982); however, it has no *dispersion* in the  $v$  equation. This defect can be removed.

Consider the following *multicomponent* extension of the  $\kappa\text{dv}$  equation:

$$\dot{u} = -u_{xxx} + 6uu_x + 2v^t v_x + c^t v_{xxx}, \quad \dot{v} = (2uv)_x - u_{xx}c, \tag{3}$$

where  $v = (v_1, \dots, v_N)^t$ , and  $c = (c_1, \dots, c_N)^t$  is a constant (column) vector. For  $c = 0$ ,  $v = v$ , (3) collapses into (2) (after an inessential rescaling). Let us see that (3) is a bi-Hamiltonian system with an infinite number of conservation laws (CLS). Let us rewrite (3) in the form

$$\begin{pmatrix} \dot{u} \\ \dot{v} \end{pmatrix} = B^2(\delta H_1) = B^1(\delta H_2), \tag{4}$$

$$H_0 = \frac{1}{2}u_2, \quad H_1 = \frac{1}{2}(u^2 + v^t v), \quad H_2 = u^3 + \frac{1}{2}u^{(1)2} + u(v^t v + c^t v^{(1)}), \tag{5}$$

$$B^1 = \text{diag}(\partial, \partial 1), \quad B^2 = 2B + b_\omega + b_\nu, \tag{6}$$

$$B = \left( \begin{array}{c|c} u\partial + \partial u & v^t \partial \\ \hline \partial v & \mathbf{0} \end{array} \right), \quad b_\omega = \text{diag}(-\partial^3, \mathbf{0}), \quad b_\nu = \left( \begin{array}{c|c} \mathbf{0} & c^t \\ \hline -c & \mathbf{0} \end{array} \right) \partial^2, \tag{7}$$

where  $\partial = \partial/\partial x$ ,  $(\cdot)^k = \partial^k(\cdot)$ , and  $\delta H = (\delta H/\delta u, \delta H/\delta v^t)^t$  is the column vector of functional derivatives of  $H$ . The matrix  $B^1$  is skewsymmetric constant-coefficient and, thus, is Hamiltonian (see ch I in Manin (1979)). Let  $K$  be a commutative algebra with a derivation  $\partial: K \rightarrow K$  (say,  $K = C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^1)$ ). Let  $D(K)$  be  $K$  considered as a Lie

algebra ('vector fields on  $\mathbb{R}^1$ ') with the commutator

$$[X, Y] = XY^{(1)} - X^{(1)}Y, \quad X, Y \in K. \tag{8}$$

Let  $y = D(K) \ltimes K^N$  be the semidirect product Lie algebra with the commutator

$$\left[ \begin{pmatrix} X \\ p \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} Y \\ q \end{pmatrix} \right] = \begin{pmatrix} XY^{(1)} - X^{(1)}Y \\ Xq^{(1)} - Yp^{(1)} \end{pmatrix}, \quad X, Y \in K, \quad p, q \in K^N. \tag{9}$$

Then  $B$  in (7) is the natural Hamiltonian form associated to ('the dual space of') the Lie algebra  $y$ . In addition, consider bilinear forms  $\omega$  and  $\nu$  on  $y$  whose associated operators  $b_\omega$  and  $b_\nu$  are given in (7):

$$\omega\left(\begin{pmatrix} X \\ p \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} Y \\ q \end{pmatrix}\right) = -XY^{(3)}, \quad \nu\left(\begin{pmatrix} X \\ p \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} Y \\ q \end{pmatrix}\right) = Xc^tq^{(2)} - p^tcY^{(2)}. \tag{10}$$

It is easy to see that  $\omega$  and  $\nu$  are (generalised) two-cocycles on  $y$ . Therefore, the matrix  $B^2$  is Hamiltonian (see ch VIII in Kupershmidt (1985).)

Now let us show that the bi-Hamiltonian definition

$$B^1(\delta H_{n+1}) = B^2(\delta H_n) \tag{11}$$

can be iterated for all  $n$ . It will imply that we have a whole bi-Hamiltonian hierarchy with a common infinite set of CLS. Denote

$$a_n = \delta H_n / \delta u, \quad b_n = \delta H_n / \delta v, \quad F_n = \delta H_n.$$

Rewriting (4) in long hand, we obtain

$$\begin{pmatrix} a_{n+1}^{(1)} \\ b_{n+1}^{(1)} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} [2(u\partial + \partial u) - \partial^3](a_n) + 2v'b_n^{(1)} + c^tb_n^{(2)} \\ (2a_nv - a_n^{(1)}c)^{(1)} \end{pmatrix} = B^2 \begin{pmatrix} a_n \\ b_n \end{pmatrix}, \tag{12}$$

so that we can set

$$b_{n+1} = 2a_nv - a_n^{(1)}c. \tag{13}$$

Also, using (11), we get

$$F'_m B^2(F_n) \sim -F'_n B^2(F_m) = -F'_n B^1(F_{m+1}) \sim F'_{m+1} B^1(F_n) = F'_{m+1} B^2(F_{n-1}), \tag{14}$$

where  $a \sim b$  means:  $(a - b) \in \text{Im } \partial$ . Hence, we obtain from (14) that

$$F'_m B^2(F_n) \sim 0. \tag{15}$$

In particular, taking  $m = 0$  in (15), so that  $F_0 = (\frac{1}{2}, \mathbf{0})^t$ , we obtain

$$[2(u\partial + \partial u) - \partial^3](a_n) + 2v'b_n^{(1)} + c^tb_n^{(2)} \sim 0, \tag{16}$$

which implies that we can find  $a_{n+1}$  in (12) for every  $n$ . It remains to show that, for each  $n$  thus obtained, vector  $F_n = (a_n, b_n)^t$  is a vector of functional derivatives of some  $H_n$ . This is equivalent (Manin 1979, Kupershmidt 1980) to showing that the Fréchet derivative  $D(F_n)$  is symmetric, where

$$D(F_n) = \begin{pmatrix} D_u(a_n) & D_v(a_n) \\ D_u(b_n) & D_v(b_n) \end{pmatrix} \tag{17}$$

with  $D_u(a) = \Sigma(\partial a / \partial u^{(m)})\partial^m$ , etc. We show that

$$D_n^+ = D_n, \quad D_n := D(F_n), \tag{18}$$

by induction on  $n$ , the cases  $n = 0, 1, 2$  being obviously satisfied. Taking the Fréchet derivative of (12), we get

$$B^1 D_{n+1} = B^2 D_n + 2G_n \tag{19n}$$

$$G_n = \begin{pmatrix} a_n^{(1)} + \partial a_n & b_n^{(1)'} \\ \mathbf{0} & \partial a_n \mathbb{1} \end{pmatrix}. \tag{20}$$

Applying to (19n) from the right the operator  $B^1$ , and subtracting from the result the composition of (19n-1) and  $B^2$ , we find

$$B^1 D_{n+1} B^1 = (B^2 D_n B^1 + B^1 D_n B^2) - B^2 D_{n-1} B^2 + 2\bar{G}_n, \tag{21}$$

$$\bar{G}_n := G_n B^1 - G_{n-1} B^2. \tag{22}$$

Since  $B^1 D_{n+1} B^1$  is symmetric when  $D_{n+1}$  is, to make the induction step we have to show that  $\bar{G}_n$  is symmetric, and this is a straightforward calculation based on the following identities

$$\{(a^{(1)} + \partial a)[2(u\partial + \partial u) - \partial^3] - (2au^{(1)} + 4a^{(1)}u - a^{(3)}\partial)\} \quad \text{is symmetric} \tag{23}$$

$$[b^{(1)'}(2\partial v - c\partial^2) - (2v'b^{(1)} + c'b^{(2)})\partial] \quad \text{is symmetric} \tag{24}$$

$$[\partial a(2\partial v' - c'\partial^2)]^\dagger = (a^{(1)} + \partial a)(2v\partial + c\partial^2) - (2av - a^{(1)}c)\partial. \tag{25}$$

This work was supported in part by the National Science Foundation.

**References**

Hirota R and Satsuma J 1981 *Phys. Lett.* **85A** 407  
 Ito M 1982 *Phys. Lett.* **91A** 335  
 Kupershmidt B A 1980 *Lecture Notes Math.* **775** 162  
 ——— 1985 *Revue Asterisque* **123**  
 Manin Yu I 1979 *J. Sov. Math.* **11** 1  
 Wilson G 1982 *Phys. Lett.* **89A** 332